ADHD Task Manager

Iteration Plan

# 1. Key milestones

| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| --- | --- |
| Iteration start | 15/03 |
| Complete Project Vision | 19/03 |
| Initial Requirement Model | 22/03 |
| Proposed Architecture | 26/03 |
| Team Documents Review | 26/03 |
| Iteration stop | 26/03 |

# 2. Objectives and Completion Criteria

**Task 1:** Complete Project Vision

**Criteria:** Project Vision document is completed and clearly outlines the core idea for the project and the business case justifying the project.

**Task 2:** Initial Requirement Model  
 **Criteria:** Identify the scope of the intended software, and the functional and non-functional requirements.

**Task 3:** Complete Proposed Architecture

**Criteria:** The proposed architecture for the project is clearly defined, including how all components of the architecture should interact with each other.

**Task 4:** Team Documents Review  
 **Criteria:** Team to review all the above documents for any errors or queries. Team Members are to then sign off saying they are okay to proceed.

# 3. Work Item assignments

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.1 | Project Vision Introduction |  | Inprogress | Corie | 1 Hour |  |  |
| 1.2 | Project Vision Positioning |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 1.3 | Project Vision Stakeholder Description |  | Inprogress | Sam | 1 Hour |  |  |
| 1.4 | Project Vision Product Overview |  | Inprogress | Deepak | 2 Hour |  |  |
| 1.5 | Project Vision Product Product Requirements |  | Inprogress | Matt | 2 Hour |  |  |
| 2.1 | Requirement Model Introduction |  | Inprogress | Corie | 1 Hour |  |  |
| 2.2 | Requirement Model System-Wide Functional Requirements |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 2.3 | Requirement Model System Qualities |  | Inprogress | Deepak | 2 hours |  |  |
| 2.4.1 | Requirement Model System Interfaces |  | Inprogress | Sam | 2 hours |  |  |
| 2.4.2 | Requirement Model System Interfaces |  | Inprogress | Sam | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 2.5 | Requirement Model Business Rules |  | Inprogress | Matt | 2 hours |  |  |
| 2.6 | Requirement Model System Constraints |  | Inprogress | Deepak | 2 hours |  |  |
| 2.7 | Requirement Model System Compliance |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 2.8 | Requirement Model System Documentation |  | Inprogress | Matt | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.1 | Proposed Architecture Purpose |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.2 | Proposed Architecture Architectural goals and philosophy |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.3 | Proposed Architecture Assumptions and dependencies |  | Inprogress | Corie | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.4 | Proposed Architecture Architecturally significant requirements |  | Inprogress | Deepak | 2 hours |  |  |
| 3.5 | Proposed Architecture Decisions, constraints, and justifications |  | Inprogress | Deepak | 2 hours |  |  |
| 3.6 | Proposed Architecture Architectural Mechanisms |  | Inprogress | Matt | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.7 | Proposed Architecture Key abstractions |  | Inprogress | Matt | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.8 | Proposed Architecture Layers or architectural framework |  | Inprogress | Sam | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 3.9 | Proposed Architecture Architectural views |  | Inprogress | Sam | 2 Hours |  |  |
| 4.1 | Team Document Review |  |  | All | 1 Hour |  |  |

# 4. Issues

| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

# 5. Assessment

| Assessment target |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment date |  |
| Participants |  |
| Project status |  |

## Assessment against objectives

[Document whether you addressed the objectives as specified in the Iteration Plan.]

## Work Items: Planned compared to actually completed

[Summarize whether all Work Items planned to be addressed in the iteration were addressed, and which Work Items were postponed or added.]

## Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results

[Document whether you met the evaluation criteria as specified in the Iteration Plan. This could include information such as “Demo for Department X was well-received, with some concerns raised around usability,” or “495 test cases were automated with a 98% pass rate. 9 test cases were deferred because the corresponding Work Items were postponed.”

The most important guideline here is that although satisfactorily completed items may summarily mentioned, incomplete items require a more comprehensive explanation ]

## Other concerns and deviations

[List other areas that have been evaluated, such as financials, or schedule deviation, as well as Stakeholder feedback not captured elsewhere.]